IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

YVONNE LEON :

Plaintiff, : CIVIL ACTION

VS.

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC. : NO: 2:22-cv-02340

AND :

FIRST TRANSIT CORPORATION

:

Defendants.

DEFENDANT, AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANT, FIRST TRANSIT CORPORATION'S CROSSCLAIM

Defendant, American Airlines, Inc. (hereinafter, "Answering Defendant") by and through its attorneys, Morgan & Akins, PLLC, hereby answers Defendant, First Transit Corporation's Crossclaim as follows:

- 1. Denied. No substantive response is required to the corresponding incorporation paragraph.
- 2. Denied. The averments contained in this paragraph are not directed to Answering Defendant, so no response is required. To the extent that the averments contained in this paragraph call for conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent that an answer is deemed required, denied. Strict proof of all averments, if admissible, is demanded at the time of trial.
- 3. Denied. The averments contained in this paragraph call for conclusions of law, no response is required. To the extent that an answer is deemed required, denied. Strict proof of all averments, if admissible, is demanded at the time of trial.

Case 2:22-cv-02340-JP Document 6 Filed 06/16/22 Page 2 of 3

4. Denied. The averments contained in this paragraph call for conclusions of law, no

response is required. To the extent that an answer is deemed required, denied. Strict proof of all

averments, if admissible, is demanded at the time of trial.

5. Denied. The averments contained in this paragraph call for conclusions of law, no

response is required. To the extent that an answer is deemed required, denied. Strict proof of all

averments, if admissible, is demanded at the time of trial.

6. Denied. The averments contained in this paragraph call for conclusions of law, no

response is required. To the extent that an answer is deemed required, denied. Strict proof of all

averments, if admissible, is demanded at the time of trial.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, American Airlines, Inc. demands judgment in its favor and

against Defendant, First Transit Corporation on all claims asserted in Plaintiff's Complaint, as well

as all claims in Defendant, First Transit Corporation's Crossclaim, together with costs of suit,

attorney's fees and other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

MORGAN & AKINS, PLLC

Date: June 16, 2022 **BY:**

NATHAN R. BOHLANDER (PA# 312509)

The Graham Building

30 South 15th Street, Suite 701

Philadelphia, PA 19102

nbohlander@morganakins.com

Attorneys for Defendant, American Airlines, Inc.

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this the 16th day of June, 2022, the foregoing Answer to Crossclaims was served upon the below counsel via the Court's ECF system:

Grady A. Loman, Esquire
Gordon & Lowman, LLC
1315 Walnut Street
Suite 1006
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Counsel for Plaintiff, Yvonne Leon

Nariman Amin, Esquire Kane, Pugh, Knoell, Troy & Kramer, LLP 510 Swede Street Norristown, PA 19401 Counsel for Defendant, First Transit Corporation

MORGAN & AKINS, PLLC

Date: June 16, 2022 **BY:**

NATHAN R. BOHLANDER (PA# 312509)

The Graham Building 30 South 15th Street, Suite 701 Philadelphia, PA 19102 nbohlander@morganakins.com

Attorneys for Defendant, American Airlines, Inc.